

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/694,433

Applicant(s)

THIYAGARAJAN, SUMESH
MANI K.

Examiner

Caridad M. Everhart

Art Unit

2825

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Caridad M. Everhart.

(3) Attorney Carl Reed

(2) Attorney Kevin Stringer

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7-30-04

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10, and 27

Identification of prior art discussed: Vurgaftman and Lo

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendment to the claims seems to overcome the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

The examiner will review Vurgaftman more closely, and an updated search will be done.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

C. Everhart
Examiner's signature, if required